HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.36256 of 1998

Dated:19.09.2007

Between:

Gugilla Veerabhadram and others.

... Petitioners

AND

The Commissioner of Survey & Settlements & Land Records, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad,

and others.

... Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioners : Smt N.Shoba

Counsel for the respondents: none.

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.36256 of 1998

ORDER:-

Heard Smt.N.Shoba learned counsel for the petitioners. None appeared for respondents.

This writ petition is filed for a writ of certiorari to quash the order dated 7.11.1998 passed by respondent No.1 in L1/270/92 and L1/271/92 whereby he dismissed the appeals filed against the order dated 17.11.1987 passed by respondent No.2.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that respondent No.3 granted ryotwari pattas in favour of the petitioners and on the appeals filed by respondent No.4 before respondent No.2, the latter allowed the appeals and remanded the matter to respondent No.3 for fresh consideration. The appeals filed by the petitioners against such order of respondent No.2 were dismissed by respondent No.1 thereby confirming the order of respondent No.2. The present writ petition is filed against the said order of respondent No.1.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in identical cases filed by similarly placed persons, this Court disposed of Writ Petition No.33740 of 1998 and Batch on 4.4.2007 whereby the learned Judge dismissed the said writ petitions confirming the order passed by the Commissioner under which the order of Director of Settlement remanding the matter to Settlement Officer was confirmed.

I have perused copy of the said order and I am satisfied that the issue raised in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the said order.

The writ petition is therefore, dismissed in terms of the said order with a direction that the order dated 4.4.2007 in Writ Petition No.33740 of 1998 and Batch be treated as part of this order. It is needless to say that as observed by the learned Judge in the order dated 4.4.2007, the possession of the petitioners shall not be disturbed till the completion of the enquiry by the Settlement Officer.

_____

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY,J

Date:19.09.2007
mdaa